Studies on Progesterone Receptors in Human Breast Carcinomas: Use of Natural and Synthetic Ligands*

M. J. DUFFY and G. J. DUFFY

Department of Nuclear Medicine, St. Vincent's Hospital, Dublin 4, Ireland and Departments of Medicin and Surgery, University College Dublin, Ireland

Abstract—Progesterone receptors were measured in human breast carcinomas using both (3H) progesterone in the presence of excess non-radioactive cortisol and tritiated synthetic progestins which are not bound with high affinity by corticost roid-binding-globulin. At concentrations of receptor above 10 fmole/mg protein good exceement was found between the amount of progesterone and the synthetic progestins R5020 and ORG 2058 bound. In 2/27 tumors low levels of progesterone binders were present but no specific binders for the synthetic progestins were detected. In all the tumors without specific progesterone binders, receptors for both R5020 and ORG 2058 were also absent. The K_d using the different ligands were in the order R5020 < ORG 2058 < progesterone in the presence of glycerol < progesterone in the absence of glycerol. Competition experiments using excess non-radioactive steroids suggest that both progesterone and the synthetic progestins bind to identical sites. It is concluded that neither (3H)progesterone nor (3H)ORG 2058 offers any advantage over R5020 in the assay of progesterone receptors in human breast tumors.

INTRODUCTION

It is now well established that approximately 50–60% of human breast carcinomas containing estradiol receptors (ER) respond to endocrine manipulative therapy while less than 10% ER-negative tumors regress [1, 2]. If however, progesterone receptors (PgR) are present in addition to the ER, a greater proportion of patients benefit from the hormonal treatment [3, 4]. It is therefore desirable to assay PgR simultaneously with ER in human breast tumors.

Until recently accurate quantitation of PgR had been difficult. In addition to progesterone binding to its own receptor, it also binds with relatively high affinity to corticosteroid-binding-globulin (CBG) [5] which invariably contaminates human breast tumors [6]. Furthermore using (³H)progesterone as ligand the receptor–steroid complex dissociates

rapidly during the separation procedures [7] thus underestimating receptor concentration.

These problems have been largely overcome by using either (a) synthetic (³H)progestins which are not bound with high affinity by CBG and which dissociates relatively slowly from the receptor [3, 4, 8, 9] or (b) by using (³H)progesterone as ligand and blocking CBG with excess radioinert cortisol [10, 11]. Using the latter procedure the half-life of the progesterone–receptor complex is considerably increased in the presence of glycerol [11].

In this paper we compare the results obtained on PgR measurements in human breast tumors using 2 different synthetic (³H)progestins as ligands with those obtained using (³H)progesterone. Furthermore we present evidence suggesting that both the synthetic progestins and progesterone are bound by the same binding protein.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

(³H)progesterone was purchased from the Radiochemical Center, Amersham, England.

Accepted 7 December 1978.

*This work was supported by the Irish Cancer Society. Address for correspondence: Dr. M. J. Duffy, Department of Nuclear Medicine, St. Vincent's Hospital, Dublin 4, Ireland. (³H)R5020 (17,21-dimethyl-19-nor-4,9-pregnadiene-3,20-dione) and non-tritiated R5020 were gifts from Dr. J. P. Raynaud, Roussel-Uclaf. (³H)ORG 2058(16α-ethyl-21-hydroxy-19-nor-4-pregnene-3,20-dione) and non-radioactive ORG 2058 were generously supplied by Dr. E. de Jager, Organon. All other non-radioactive steroids were purchased from Sigma Chemicals Ltd.

Methods

Tumors were obtained from patients operated on in St. Vincent's Hospital and were stored in liquid nitrogen for periods not greater than 2 weeks, Homogenisation of tissue and preparation of cytosols was as previously described [12, 13].

Progesterone receptor assay

- (a) Using $(^3H)R5020$. Cytosols were incubated for 18 hr at 4° C with increasing concentrations $(5 \times 10^{-8}M 5 \times 10^{-9}M)$ of $(^3H)R5020$ in the presence and absence of 500-fold excess non-tritiated R5020.
- (b) Using ORG 2058. Method was identical to above except ORG 2058 was used instead of R5020.
- (c) Using (³H)progesterone. This procedure was based on the method of Pichon and Milgrom [11]. Binding to CBG was blocked using excess non-radioactive cortisol. Incubation was also carried out for 18 hr at 4°C. One hour before termination of reaction, glycerol was added to the incubation medium giving a final concentration of 30°₀. The dextran-charcoal suspension also contained glycerol at a final concentration of 30°₀.

For each ligand, binding was terminated by the addition of 0.5°_{0} charcoal- 0.05°_{0} dextran [13]. The tubes were vortexed, allowed to stand at 0° C for 10 min and centrifuged at 2000 \mathbf{g} for 10 min. The supernatant was decanted and counted using 0.5°_{0} PPO in toluene: triton-X-100 (2:1; V; V) as scintillant. The binding data was plotted as described by Scatchard [14] and the $\mathbf{K_d}$ was calculated from the slope of graph.

Protein measurement. Protein was determined as previously described using bovine serum albumin as standard [15].

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the concentration of binding proteins in 27 primary breast carcinomas using the 3 different progestins. Except for patients numbered 5 and 11 there is good

agreement between the concentrations using the different ligands. Tumors from these 2 patients contained low levels of progesterone binding proteins but no R5020 or ORG 2058 binding sites. The progesterone binding components in these tumors were not precipitated by protamine sulfate [16] suggesting binding was not due to specific receptors. On the other hand, 3 tumors with low concentrations of binding sites for both progesterone and the synthetic progestins using the charcoal method of separation did contain specific progesterone binding proteins precipitated by protamine sulfate e.g., tumors numbered 6, 9 and 15 contained 12, 6, 9.5 and 18 fmole/mg protein respectively. All of the tumors without specific progesterone receptors also lacked both R5020 and ORG 2058 receptors. The average K_d for the 3 ligands were in order R5020 < ORG 2058 < progesterone in the presence of glycerol < progesterone in the absence of glycerol (Table 2).

Table 1. Concentration of progestin binders in human breast tumors using 3 different tritiated progestin ligands

Patient No.	Concentration of binder (fmole/mg protein)			
	Progesterone	R 5020	ORG 2058	
1	28	32	35	
2	106	123	106	
3	33	29	26	
4	536	428	471	
5	8.5	0	0	
6	15	11	9	
7	22	28	31	
8	138	146	127	
9	8.4	12	15	
10	98	109	84	
11	6.8	0	0	
12	57	44	68	
13	27	21	23	
14	39	47	44	
15	11	19	12	
16 27	0	0	0	

Procedure as described in section on Methodology.

Table 2. Average K_d for progestin binding proteins in human breast tumors using different progestin ligands

K _d (nM)	
0.95 (13)	
1.08 (13)	
1.20 (13)	
4.25 (5)	

Number of demonstrations given in parentheses.

Competition experiments with excess non-tritiated steroids suggest that both progesterone and the synthetic progestins bind to the same binding site (Table 3). Excess (100-fold) of each of the non-radioactive progestins in-

hibited binding of the 3 labelled progestins. On the other hand excess radioinert estradiol, dihydrotestosterone and cortisol had no significant effect on the binding of the tritiated ligands.

Table 3. Effect of excess non-radioactive (100-fold) steroids on the binding of (³H) progestins by human breast tumors

Unlabeled steroid	Inhibition of binding of (³ H)progestin			
	(³ H)progesterone	(%) (³ H)R5020	(³ H)ORG 2058	
Estradiol	1.7	0	0	
Dihydrotestosterone	2.0	1.4	1.8	
Cortisol	3.6	0	0	
Progesterone	100	85	82	
R5020	96	100	98	
ORG 2058	93	97	100	

Procedure as carried out in section on Methodology. Results are means of 3 determinations.

DISCUSSION

The 3 different progestins used in the present investigation have each been used separately to measure PgR in human breast tumors [3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Our results show that when PgR concentrations are greater than about 10 fmole/mg protein, good agreement is found with the different ligands. However at low levels of receptor, binding by serum proteins when using (³H)progesterone may give false receptor positive values. In this context also, Raynaud et al. [17] found the greatest discrepancy between the amount of progesterone and R5020 bound at low concentrations of receptor. At low levels of receptor use of tritiated synthetic ligands may therefore lead to more specific binding.

Potential disadvantages in the use of both R5020 and ORG 2058 include non-specific

binding of both ligands to unidentified serum proteins [4, 9] and the binding of R5020 at least to the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) [18]. However the low affinity non-specific binding to serum proteins can be differentiated from specific receptor binding by saturating the receptor with excess radioinert progestin and/or determining the K_d of the ligand-protein interaction. On the other hand binding of R5020 to the GR might be inhibited by the addition of excess non-radioactive cortisol. Further investigations are necessary to confirm this.

In conclusion although both progesterone and synthetic progestins can be used to assay PgR in breast tumors the final evaluation of which ligand is best must await correlation with the response of tumors to endocrine therapy.

REFERENCES

- 1. E. V. Jensen, G. E. Block, S. Smith, K. Kyser and E. R. DeSombre, Estrogen receptors and breast response to adrenalectomy. *Nat. Cancer Inst. Monogr.* 34, 55 (1971).
- 2. W. L. McGure, Current status of estrogen receptors in breast cancer. Cancer (Philad.) 36, 638 (1975).
- 3. K. B. HORWITZ, W. L. McGuire, O. H. Pearson and A. Segaloff, Predicting response to endocrine therapy in human breast cancer: a hypothesis. *Science* 189, 726 (1975).
- 4. W. L. McGuire, K. B. Horwitz, O. H. Pearson and A. Segaloff, Current status of estrogen and progesterone receptors in breast cancer. *Cancer (Philad.)* 39, 2934 (1977).

- 5. K. Kontula, O. Jänne, R. Vihko, E. Jager, J. Visser and F. Zeelen, Progesterone binding and biological activity of different steroidal ligands. *Acta endocr.* (Kbh.) 78, 574 (1975).
- 6. R. K. Wagner and P. W. Jungblut, Differentiation between steroid hormone receptor, CBG and SHBG in human target organs extracts by a single step assay. *Molec. cell. Endocr.* 4, 13 (1976).
- 7. P. D. Feil, S. R. Glasser, D. O. Toft and B. W. O'Malley, A specific progesterone receptor in the hamster uterus: physiologic properties and regulation during the estrous cycle. *Endocrinology* **94**, 1041 (1974).
- 8. D. PHILIBERT and J. P. RAYNAUD, Progesterone binding in the immature mouse and rat uterus. Steroids 22, 89 (1973).
- 9. O. JÄNNE, K. KONTULA and R. VIHKO, Progestine receptors in human tissues: concentrations and binding kinetics. J. Steroid Biochem. 7, 1061 (1976).
- 10. L. Terenius, Estrogen and progesterone binders in human and rat mammary carcinoma. *Europ. J. Cancer* **9**, 291 (1973).
- 11. M. F. Picho and E. Milgrom, Characterization and assay of progesterone receptors in human mammary carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 37, 464 (1977).
- 12. M. J. Duffy and G. J. Duffy, Measurement of estradiol receptors in human breast tumors by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. *Clin. chim. Acta* 74, 161 (1977).
- 13. M. J. Duffy and G. J. Duffy, Estradiol receptors and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase activity in human breast tumors. *Clin. chim. Acta* **74**, 167 (1977).
- 14. G. Scatchard, The attractions of proteins for small molecules and ions. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 51, 660 (1949).
- 15. O. H. LOWRY, N. J. ROSENBROUGH, A. L. FARR and R. J. RANDALL, Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. biol. Chem. 193, 165 (1951).
- G. C. CHAMNESS, K. HUFF and W. L. McGuire, Protamine precipitated estrogen receptors: a solid phase ligand exchange assay. Steroids 25, 627 (1975).
- 17. J. P. RAYNAUD, T. OJASOO, J. C. DELARUE, H. MAGDELENAT, P. MARTIN and D. PHILIBERT, Estrogen and progestin receptors in human breast cancer. In *Progesterone Receptors in Normal and Neoplastic Tissues*. (Edited by W. L. McGuire, J. P. Raynaud and E. E. Baulieu) p. 171. Raven Press, New York (1977).
- 18. J. P. RAYNAUD, Receptors in breast cancer: an introduction. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 286, 87-89 (1977).